Business Cycles with Pricing Cascades

Mishel Ghassibe

Anton Nakov

CREi, UPF & BSE

European Central Bank

ECB

December 2024

The views expressed here are the responsibility of the authors only, and do not necessarily coincide with those of the ECB or the Eurosystem.

Motivation

• Recent events have brought new evidence regarding the drivers and dynamics of inflation:

i Possibility of large inflation surges in advanced economies

Evidence I: inflation spikes in advanced economies (headline)



Source: FRED.

Motivation

• Recent events have brought new evidence regarding the drivers and dynamics of inflation:

i Possibility of large inflationary swings in advanced economies

ii Fluctuations in the frequency of price adjustment (Montag and Villar, 2023; Cavallo et al., 2024)

Evidence II: changes in frequency of price adjustment



Source: Montag and Villar (2024), Dedola et al. (2024).

Motivation

• Recent events have brought new evidence regarding the drivers and dynamics of inflation:

i Possibility of large inflationary swings in advanced economies

ii Fluctuations in the frequency of price adjustment (Montag and Villar, 2023; Cavallo et al., 2024)

iii Importance of sector-specific drivers of inflation (Schneider, 2023; Rubbo, 2024)

Evidence III: sectoral drivers of inflation



Source: Rubbo (2024).

Motivation

• Recent events have brought new evidence regarding the drivers and dynamics of inflation:

Possibility of large inflationary swings in advanced economies

Challenge: NKPC with a flat slope requires implausibly large shocks (L'Huillier and Phelan, 2024)

ii Fluctuations in the frequency of price adjustment(Montag and Villar, 2023; Cavallo et al., 2024)Challenge:a fixed menu cost model matches that at the cost of an implausibly steep NKPC(Blanco et al., 2024)

iii Importance of sector-specific drivers of inflation(Schneider, 2023; Rubbo, 2024)Challenge:need to allow for large sector-specific shocks in a setting with menu costs

• Develop a **dynamic** general equilibrium model that features: a number of **sectors interconnected by networks** with **state-dependent pricing** that is solved **fully non-linearly** (under perfect foresight)

New cyclical mechanism: cascades and anti-cascades

- Networks with state-dependent pricing offer a novel source of non-linearity in business cycles
- Demand shocks Networks dampen the extensive margin of adjustment: pricing anti-cascades
 - i Networks dampen the desired price changes, hence firms are less willing to pay the cost of adjustment
 - ii Quantitatively, delivers a "flattening" of the Phillips Curve, implying strong monetary non-neutrality even following relatively large demand shocks
- Supply shocks (Agg./sectoral) Networks amplify the extensive margin: pricing cascades
 - i Networks amplify the desired price changes, hence firms are more willing to pay the cost of adjustment
 - ii Creates frequency increases and inflationary surges following aggregate TFP/markup shocks, or TFP/markup shocks to sectors that are major suppliers to the rest of the economy

MODEL

Model overview

• **Timing**: infinite-horizon setting in discrete time, indexed by t = 0, 1, 2, ...

• Households: continuum of identical households; consume output and supply labor

• **Firms**: continuum of monopolistically competitive firms, each belongs to one of *N* sectors, indexed $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$; there is a measure one of firms in each sector

• Factors: firms use labor and intermediate inputs purchased from other firms

• Government Policy: central bank sets the level of money supply M_t

Households

• The representative household maximizes expected lifetime utility:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} eta^t \left[\log C_t - L_t
ight]$$

subject to a standard budget constraint

• Households are also subject to a **cash-in-advance** constraint: $P_t^C C_t \leq M_t$

• Aggregate consumption:
$$C_t = \iota^C \prod_{i=1}^N C_i^{\overline{\omega}_i^C}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \overline{\omega}_i^C = 1, \quad \overline{\omega}_i^C \ge 0, \forall i$$

• Sectoral consumption: $C_{i,t} = \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[\zeta_{i,t}(j) C_{i,t}(j) \right]^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} dj \right\}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}, \quad \epsilon > 1$

where $\zeta_{i,t}(j)$ is a **firm-level quality** process:

$$\log \zeta_{i,t}(j) = \log \zeta_{i,t-1}(j) + \sigma_i \varepsilon_{i,t}(j)$$

Firms: production

• Any firm *j* in sector *i* has access to the following production technology:

$$Y_{i,t}(j) = \iota_i \frac{1}{\zeta_{i,t}(j)} \times A_{i,t} \times L_{i,t}(j)^{\overline{\alpha}_i} \prod_{k=1}^N X_{i,k,t}(j)^{\overline{\omega}_{ik}},$$

where $A_{i,t}$ is a **sectoral productivity** process, $L_{i,t}(j)$ is firm-level labor input, $X_{i,k,t}(j)$ is firm-level intermediate input demand for sector k's goods and $\overline{\alpha}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \overline{\omega}_{ik} = 1$, $\overline{\alpha}_i \ge 0, \overline{\omega}_{ik} \ge 0, \forall i, k$

• Cost-minimization delivers the following real marginal cost:

$$\mathcal{MC}_{i,t}(j) = \zeta_{i,t}(j) imes rac{\mathcal{M}_t}{\mathcal{A}_{i,t}} imes \prod_{k=1}^N rac{P_{k,t}^{\omega_{ik}}}{\mathcal{M}_t}$$

Firms: pricing

- Price resetting involves paying a sector-specific menu cost $\kappa_{i,t}$ measured in labor hours
- Let $p_{i,t}(j) \equiv \log \tilde{P}_{i,t}(j) = \log \frac{P_{i,t}(j)}{\zeta_{i,t}(j)M_t}$ be the quality-adjusted *log* real price
- The value of a firm in sector *i* that has set a quality-adjusted real price *p*:

$$V_{i,t}(p) = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{i,t}(p) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\{1 - \eta_{i,t+1} \left(p - \sigma_i \varepsilon_{i,t+1} - m_{t+1}\right)\} \times V_{i,t+1} \left(\overline{p - \sigma_i \varepsilon_{i,t+1} - m_{t+1}}\right) \right] \\ + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\underbrace{\eta_{i,t+1} \left(p - \sigma_i \varepsilon_{i,t+1} - m_{t+1}\right)}_{\text{Pr. of adjustment}} \times \left(\max_{p'} V_{i,t+1} \left(p'\right) - \kappa_{i,t}\right) \right]$$

• Following Golosov and Lucas (2007), we assume the following **adjustment hazard** $\eta_{i,t}(.)$:

$$\eta_{i,t}(p) = 1(L_{i,t}(p) > 0) = 1\left(\max_{p'} V_{i,t}(p') - V_{i,t}(p) > \overline{\kappa}_i\right)$$

Toy example 1: roundabout production

• Marginal cost: $MC(j) = \zeta(j) \times \frac{1}{A} \times M^{\overline{\alpha}} P^{1-\overline{\alpha}} = \zeta(j) \times \frac{M}{A} \times \left(\frac{P}{M}\right)^{1-\overline{\alpha}}$





Toy example 2: two-sector vertical chain

• Marginal costs: $MC_U(j) = \zeta_U(j) \times \frac{1}{A_U} \times M$, $MC_D(j) = \zeta_D(j) \times \frac{1}{A_D} \times P_U$



Toy example 3: *N*-sector vertical chain

• Marginal costs: $MC_i(j) = \zeta_i(j) \times \frac{1}{A_i} \times P_{i-1}$



QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Computation

• Steady state: solve the stationary Bellman equations and firms' price distribution on an evenly spaced grid of log quality-adjusted real prices for every sector

- Consider a **known** sequence of money supply $\{\Delta \log M_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and productivity $\{\log A_{k,t}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$
- Assume that after a finite time period *T* the economy converges back to the stationary distribution
- From a guess for the sequences of aggregate and sectoral variables, follow **backward-forward iteration** until convergence:

① Starting from t = T, iterate **backwards** to t = 0 to solve for the micro value functions

② Starting from t = 0, iterate **forwards** to t = T to solve for price distributions and aggregate numerically

Calibration (Euro Area, monthly frequency)

Aggregate parameters					
β	0.96 ^{1/12}	Discount factor (monthly)	Golosov and Lucas (2007)		
ϵ	3	Goods elasticity of substitution	Midrigan (2011)		
$\overline{\pi}$	0.02/12	Trend inflation (monthly)	ECB target		
ρ	0.90	Persistence of the TFP shock	Half-life of seven months		

Sectoral parameters

Ν	39	Number of sectors	Data from Gautier et al. (2024)
$\{\overline{\omega}_i^C\}_{i=1}^N$		Sector consumption weights	World IO Tables
$\{\overline{\omega}_{ik}\}_{i,k=1}^N$		Sector input-output matrix	World IO Tables
$\{\overline{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^N$		Sector labor weights	World IO Tables

Firm-level pricing parameters

$\{\overline{\kappa}_i\}_{i=1}^N$	Menu costs	Estimated to fit frequency, std dev.
$\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$	Std. dev. of firm-level shocks	of Δp from Gautier et al. (2024)

Monetary shocks

$$\log M_t = \overline{\pi} + \log M_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^M$$

Anti-cascades following monetary shocks



Non-linear Phillips Curves



Sectoral frequency responses to a monetary shock



Aggregate TFP shocks

$$\log A_t = \rho \log A_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^A$$

Cascades following TFP shocks



Sectoral frequency responses to an aggregate TFP shock



Sectoral TFP shocks

Aggregate frequency responses to sectoral TFP shocks (-20%)



Aggregate frequency responses vs. sectoral Supplier Centrality



Conclusions

- Present a dynamic quantitative general equilibrium model that features: a number of sectors interconnected by networks with state-dependent pricing that is solved fully non-linearly
- "Large shocks travel fast" due to state-dependent pricing
- With networks **demand shocks**' transmission is slowed down: **anti-cascades**
- With networks supply shocks travel faster: cascades
- Upstream shocks have a stronger effect on frequency of repricing than downstream ones
- Current work
 - Endogenous monetary policy (Taylor rule) in the cashless limit
 - Application to the post-Covid inflation episode

References

Gautier, Erwan, Cristina Conflitti, Riemer P Faber, Brian Fabo, Ludmila Fadejeva, Valentin Jouvanceau, Jan-Oliver Menz, Teresa Messner, Pavlos Petroulas, Pau Roldan-Blanco et al. (2024) "New facts on consumer price rigidity in the euro area," *American Economi Journal: Macroeconomics, forthcoming,*

Golosov, Mikhail and Robert E. Lucas (2007) "Menu Costs and Phillips Curves," Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 115, pp. 171-199.